### **ERTMS Conference Leipzig**

### **Experiences of ERTMS in Switzerland**







### **ERTMS Opportunities for SBB**

#### **Reduction of cost**

...due to creation of true competition amongst several suppliers for procurement.

#### Interoperability

...to simplify transnational rail traffic on international main line corridors.

#### **Increase of Capacity**

...by cab signalling on high speed lines and/or conventional line with high train density.

#### **Increase of safety**

...by harmonisation of operational rules and conditions and by applying ETCS L2 (continuous supervision)

#### **Renewal of signalling**

...with ETCS Level 2 and L1 LS allowing significant cost reduction compared with traditional line-side signalling.

#### **Renewal of rolling stock**

...with ETCS train borne equipment in order to get operational interoperability.



🕀 SBB CFF FFS

### **ERTMS Conference Leipzig**







### Main objectives for pilot line

## Getting ready and minimising risks for the new line Berne – Olten (Comm. By Dec. 2004):

- Implementation of ETCS Level 2 Technology and the related new operational rules
- System and process approval and homologation based on the European CENELEC Standards EN 50126, 50128 and EN 50129
- Build-up of know-how in ERTMS and training of specialists and users
- Gaining of operational experience at a technical and procedural level
- Proof of interoperability with other new lines



### **Project perimeter**



#### Line characteristics:

- 35 km double track with 9 stations
- 140 trains per day, 3 Mio passengers and 240'000 t freight per year
- 2 electronic interlockings Alcatel Electra 1, 70 train routes
- Max. line speed 160 km/h, max. gradient 10 pro mille



14/01/04

### Challenges

#### **Special Challenges with the pilot project:**

- Development of SIL4 system with high reliability and availability (hardware and software)
- Integration of ETCS Level 2 into the existing production environment of SBB
- Development and implementation of the processes handling degraded situations
- Training of SBB personnel regarding proper actions to be taken in degraded situations



### **Scope of the Project**

- Adaptation to track layout and renewal of installations
- Replacement of old mechanical interlockings
- Process engineering for ETCS Level 2 (Use cases)
- Development of ETCS Level 2 System (Bombardier) (Specification Versions: A200 SRS 4A → SRS 5A → UNISIG Class P)
- Planning, installation and testing of GSM-R data
- Adaptation to interlocking and TCCS-System to ETCS L2
- Trackside installation and equipment of 63 vehicles
- Demonstration of safety
- Training of staff and commissioning



### **Project schedule L2 Implementation**



#### SBB Infrastructure – ERTMS

SBB CFF FFS

### **System overview**



Main interfaces managed by SBB to gain knowledge and expertise



### Lessons learned at project level

## Time frame initially allocated was way too short in the given circumstances:

- SRS were not stable and consolidated (4A, 5A, class P)
- Breaking new ground regarding operational rules
- First project to follow new CENELEC Standards for approval process and homologation in Switzerland
- New partnership SBB Bombardier Signalling: Differences in domain knowledge and signalling philosophy
- Shortage of qualified personnel within SBB and industry
  - Adequate management attention from the beginning - with all parties involved!



### **Performance and experience**

- 95% of the challenges were trainborne
- GSM-R problems in the beginning (train announcement, handovers)
  All solved

Up to 60 different singular errors. They occurred only every 100<sup>th</sup> to every 10'000<sup>th</sup> train run:

- Could not easily be found before start of commercial operation (3'300 test cases executed)
- With 1'000 trains a week a time frame of 3 months is required to find and analyse an error that occurred only once in 10'000 train runs! Improved diagnostics and tools ar a key success factor!
- Rare errors were blanketed by more frequent errors



### Primary delay minutes per week

Verspätungsminuten / Ereignisse pro Woche gemäss Hotline



### Number of events by category and day



#### Ereignisse nach Verspätungskategorien

Kalenderwoche

🗖 Ereignis mit Verspätung 0 Minuten 🗖 Ereignis mit Verspätung 1-10 Minuten 🗖 Ereignis mit Verspätung 10-30 Minuten 🗖 Ereignis mit Verspätung >30 Minuten



### **Delay minutes due to one-off events**



Verspätungsminuten durch Einzelereignisse

#### SBB Infrastructure – ERTMS

14

### Service and emergency brakes per week

#### Year 2003

Anzahl EB/SB pro Woche

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

emergency brake service brake

![](_page_14_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### **Emergency brakes by category**

Emergency Brakes by Category (Week 03 - Week 38)

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

■ Operational ■ Balise Failure □ Radio Failure □ ATP ■ MMI / Loco ■ Non FSS

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Service brakes by category

Service Brakes by Category (Week 03 - Week 38)

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Pilot line acceptance criterias**

The acceptance criterias shall be under full control of the system supplier, they shall NOT depend on the customer performance (ie training, degraded mode handling).

Primary delay minutes and overall availability are NOT considered as sound acceptance criteras, they depend strongly on the interaction of supplier and customer.

## On the pilot line, the following acceptance criterias were finally defined:

- Functionality of ETCS L2 system (acceptance tests cases pass successfully)
- Number of EB/SB brake applications per month based on timetable
- Number of one-off incidents per month
- Reliability of overall system und subsystem components
- Successful training of SBB personnel (certification)
- As-built product and system documentation
- Correction of known non-conformities and implementation of change requests
- Identical and traceable hard- and software configurations

![](_page_17_Picture_13.jpeg)

### **Experience after 18 months**

#### Success so far:

- Not a single safety relevant failure or incident
- No defects found to call suitability into question
- Big learning effect regarding SBB-internal processes and procedures (operation, maintenance, exception handling)
- High acceptance by drivers and dispatchers despite the number of errors during operation at the beginning
- Important knowledge and insights gained for the benefit of the new high speed line Mattstetten–Rothrist
- GSM-R works as data link for ETCS Level 2

![](_page_18_Picture_9.jpeg)

### **Lessons learned**

### (1/2)

- About 1'000 train runs needed for operational verification before a new SW-release can be downloaded on the fleet
- Incident management and failure handling have to be well defined including responsibilities between infrastructure, train operators and industry.

They have to be trained and practiced <u>before</u> start of commercial service!

 Key success factor: adequate management attention (from all parties) is needed from the beginning: lean organisation, direct communication link to top management, fast decisions based on empowerment of project leaders, top management back up / support.

![](_page_19_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **Lessons learned**

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

- On site support is mandatory for
  - Project engineering
  - Project management
  - Testing
  - Site management (SW-release changes)
- An on site command centre ("war room") is mandatory for an efficient system performance improvement process.
  - → All parties involved have to be present on site !

![](_page_20_Picture_10.jpeg)

### **Summary and Conclusions**

- The ERTMS Pilot Project has faced several challenges in new technology, processes and procedures
- Initially frequent disturbances are now removed and most problems are solved
- Today on the Pilot Line, ERTMS L2 delivers more than 98 % of all trains on time without any fallback system!
- Very valuable experiences gained for the network-wide migration to ERTMS
- SBB is committed to ERTMS for achieving their strategic objectives

### **ERTMS Conference Leipzig**

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### **Further steps: ETCS L2 Implementation**

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Next steps ETCS Level 2**

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **NBS Scope of supply**

#### **Project topics / scope of supply**

- Equiping 463 vehicles with
  - ETCS L2 trainborne system (EVC, JRU, TOU, GSM-R Data, MMI's)
  - Dual mode cab radio (analogue / GSM-R voice)
  - ETM for EuroZUB (inverted STM)
- Electronic interlocking Electra 2
- Radio block centre
- Eurobalises, redundant axle counters AZLM
- Train command and control system ILTIS
- Lateral signals type "N", EuroZUB train protection system (Eurobalises P44)

![](_page_25_Picture_12.jpeg)

#### **NBS System Overview, Product Suppliers**

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **NBS project situation**

- Board decision end of 2002: Lateral signals and EuroZUB for fallback, ETM on all rolling stock to minimize risks of late delivery
- Development and implementation of trainborne equipment delayed
- Overall SBB risk assessment in September 2003 for Rail2000 implementation:

Board decision to start commercial operation with lateral signals, ETCS L2 will follow end of 2005

Despite this difficult situation: SBB and FOT will stick to the implementation of ERTMS in Switzerland

![](_page_27_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **ETCS - Limited Supervision Mode**

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **ETCS - Benefits of Limited Supervision**

#### simplified migration

...due to scalable solution (from text messages to full supervision)

#### easy implementation

...as the use of limited supervision does not require site specific engineering in many instances

#### reduced investment

...due to adaptation to control level according to risk potential

#### no national solution

...partial harmonisation in replacement of existing systems (KVB, Indusi, ASFA, TBL, Crocodile, TPWS...)

#### Interoperability

...can then already be achieved with reduced investment compared to a full installation of ERTMS/ETCS.

#### fast replacement

Obsolete systems can be replaced quickly in a cost effective way.

#### **Network-wide**

Limited Supervision is the economical solution for ETCS on conventional lines.

![](_page_29_Picture_15.jpeg)

### **ETCS – Migration CH**

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **ETCS – Migration schedule CH**

		2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
trackside	Signum no new signal														all sig	i <mark>nals replace</mark> d
	EuroSigr	num			1 <sup>st</sup> sig	nal		upgra	ade to l	1LS						
	ZUB			no ne	w sign	al		all rep	blaced							
	EuroZUE	₿ ∳sta	art imp	lement	ation			upgra	ade to l	1LS						
	ETCS L1	LS 📢	CR a	greed	Pilot I	_ine re	ady 🤇	Gotth	ard co	ridor					Onet-v	vide
	ETCS L2			NBS			Lötso	hberg				Gotth	ard ba	se tuni	nel	
inborne	Signum		♦use with ETM											(	end c	f use
	ZUB121			use v	vith ETI	M										
	ZUB2620	t														
tra	ЕТМ			availa	able on	all veh	icles									
	ETCS			all NE	3S vehi	cles ec	uippeo	1								

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

**President of UIC:** 

"Due to my experiences with ERTMS I pretend that we are in a deep crisis. Key issues like system redundancy, availability and migration scenarios were badly neglected.

We risk that under the nice title of interoperability we will generate huge additional costs that never can be carried by the market revenues."

![](_page_32_Picture_5.jpeg)

- ERTMS is NOT a high speed application issue: migration scenarios MUST include national lines and stations/hubs (end-to-end problem!).
   If this is not considered, national systems will NEVER be replaced, ETCS will just be an expensive add-on!
- ERTMS migration scenarios MUST be established and committed between infrastructure mangers and TOC's, otherwise ERTMS migration will fail!
- ERTMS implementation must carefully be managed between infrastructure mangers and TOC's. Changing standards and crossborder traffic will be the challenges for the coming years!

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

- High Speed applications following TSI 96/48 will not create the business case for ERTMS: national markets will drive the ERTMS implementation
- The UNISIG members are asked to provide ERTMS solutions/options to satisfy the individual needs of the railways for cost effective migration periods
- ERTMS lead users shall not be punished by carrying huge development costs; a cost share model shall be established between EU, railways and UNISIG

![](_page_34_Picture_5.jpeg)

 No doubt: ERTMS migration WILL COST money. The trackside AND trainborne migration costs (and only these...) shall be funded / prefinanced by the EU.
 Replacement of obsolete end-of-lifecycle systems shall not be covered by the EU.

Mid/Long term: the investments will lead to lower system life cycle costs, lower rolling stock purchase costs and interoperability and therefore competition.

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)

**ERTMS Conference Leipzig** 

# Thank you for your attention!

![](_page_36_Picture_2.jpeg)

slides© provided by arnold.truempi@sbb.ch

![](_page_36_Picture_4.jpeg)

↔ SBB CFF FFS

37

Arnold Trümpi / Head of ETCS