

“THE CHANNEL FERRY”

ADVANTAGES AND FEASIBILITY

OF A

TRAIN-FERRY

BETWEEN ENGLAND AND FRANCE.

COMPILED BY

ERNEST DE RODAKOWSKI.

WITH PLANS AND TABLES.

LONDON :

HARRISON & SONS, 45, PALL MALL.

CONTENTS.

CHAPTER I.

UNBROKEN COMMUNICATION BETWEEN ENGLAND AND FRANCE.

Stages of development of intercommunication across Nature's obstacles—Fords, boats, tunnels, bridges—Reasons for successive substitutions—Development a function of the volume of traffic—Intercommunication across the English Channel still primitive—Purpose of present book—Advantage to passengers of uninterrupted railway communication across the Channel—Reason why Railway Companies run through-carriages—Advantages to merchandise from uninterrupted railway communication across the Channel—Avoidance of double handling—Disadvantage of breaking bulk—American meat train, Southampton-London—Abolition of broad gauge, and substitution of standard gauge—The cost of transshipment—Reduced charges for packing—Some opinions expressed before Select Committee which considered question of Channel Tunnel in 1883—Attention attracted to problem of intercommunication for more than a century—Problem to be solved either by train-ferry, tunnel or bridge—Advantages and disadvantages of the three alternatives—Questions of public policy and national security—Train-ferry possibly a precursor of tunnel or bridge I

CHAPTER II.

PREVIOUS ATTEMPTS AT SOLVING THE PROBLEM.

History of former proposals for intercommunication, classified as tunnels, bridges, and ferry boats—Tunnels under the sea bed—Mathieu, 1802—Thomé de Gamond—William Lowe—Lord Richard Grosvenor—Sir John Hawkshaw—International Committee, 1867—George Remington's oval tunnel in 1865—The

Channel Tunnel Company's Act, 1875—The Submarine Continental Railway Company and Sir Edward Watkin—Joint Select Committee of the House of Lords and House of Commons, 1883—Parliamentary sanction of tunnel considered inexpedient—Tunnels through the sea—Cast-iron tube at bottom of sea, 1803—Victor Horeau, 1851—Payerne, 1855—Favre—Nicholl—Vacherot—Numerous tube schemes in 1869—Zerah Colburn's scheme for dragging tube into sea by means of 100 ships—Bunau Varilla's tube scheme—Bridges over the Straits—Thomé de Gamond, 1836—Charles Boyd's marine viaduct—Vérard de Sainte Anne, 1870—The Channel Bridge and Railway Company, 1884—Submarine bridges—Train-ferries—Evan Leigh, 1862—Sir John Fowler, 1865, 1867, 1870 and 1872—Dupuy de Lôme and Scott Russell, 1870—General remarks on historical sketch—Train-ferry the best solution 16

CHAPTER III.

EXISTING TRAIN-FERRIES.

Train-ferries not widely known—Some information concerning them is best proof of practicability—Weather conditions on Lake Michigan compared to those on English Channel—British train-ferries—Danish train-ferries—The Gjedser-Warnemünde Ferry—Italian train-ferries—American train-ferries—The New York, Philadelphia, and Norfolk train-ferry across Chesapeake Bay—The train-ferries on New York Harbour—The Southern Pacific Railroad Company's train-ferry—The Lake Michigan train-ferries—The Ann Arbor Railroad Company's train-ferries—The Père Marquette Steamship Company's train-ferry—The Detroit River train-ferry—Mr. Neville Priestley's opinion—Relative traffic figures . . . 35

CHAPTER IV.

THE DOVER-CALAIS TRAIN-FERRY SCHEME OF 1905.

Scheme presented to Parliament in Session of 1905—Quays—Situation in the Port of Dover—Reason of withdrawal of Bill—Lifts—Steamers—Connexion with Sir William H. White and with Sir W. G. Armstrong, Whitworth & Company—Previous experience of the last named firm in building train-ferry steamers—Description of steamers intended for present scheme—Procedure for tranship-

ment of trains—Special rolling-stock—Aerothermic Waggon—Experiment with same—*Trains de Luxe*—American system of joint railroad cars—Proposed number of trips of train-ferry—Selection of terminals—Reason for selecting Dover—Advantages of the Port of Dover—Proximity to Continental ports—Dover a port of call for Transatlantic liners—Alternatives to Dover—Newhaven—Rivalry for cross-Channel traffic . . . 60

CHAPTER V.

TRAFFIC AND REVENUE ESTIMATES.

Former estimates of traffic—Different method of present scheme—Goods traffic with France *via* Dover and Folkestone—Trade in gold and silver coin and bullion—Traffic in passengers—Comparative passenger rates—Comparative passenger rates between London and Paris—Reason of Dover's premier position as a passenger port—Rates for goods—Classification of goods—Existing trans-Channel railway rates—Through rates—Local rates—Apportionment of rates—Mr. J. Staats Forbes' apportionment in 1883—Average cross-Channel rate—Rates for gold and silver coin and bullion—The ferry a sea carrier of railway trains—Rates to be levied per railway truck—Estimate of average load per truck—Mr. Edwin A. Pratt's opinion—Average cross-Channel passenger rate—Detailed revenue estimate of train-ferry—Comparison of present estimate with former estimates—Sir John Fowler's estimate—Mr. Ward Hunt's estimate—Sir John Hawkshaw's estimate—Estimate of Channel Bridge and Railway Company 72

CHAPTER VI.

CAPITAL AND EXPENDITURE ESTIMATES.

Expenditure connected with Channel train-ferry in order to earn revenue—Expenditure connected with Folkestone—Boulogne service in ordinary bottoms—Division of annual train-ferry expenditure—General expenses—Expenses of maintenance—Expenses of conducting transportation—Ship's crew—Ship's carrying capacity—Number of trips required to deal with traffic—Expenditure on working lifts—Annual expenditure of train-ferry in tabulated form—Capital expenditure necessary for train-ferry—Proposals connected with 1905 Bill—Assumed capital arrangements—Annual income necessary to meet capital charges—Comparison of revenue and expenditure 87

CHAPTER VII.

TRADE AND TRAFFIC.—METHOD OF COMPILING STATISTICS.

Trade statistics—Object in view—British trade returns—Method adopted to answer question: “What amount of goods may the Channel Ferry expect to carry?”—Answer given in tons of weight—Three steps—Sources of information—Years investigated, 1899, 1900, 1901, 1902, and 1903—Detailed explanation of method of compilation—Board of Trade statistics—Custom House statistics—Unavoidable errors—Their extent—List of articles comprised in Class 1—Proportion of trade passing through various ports—Special tables prepared by Statistical Department of Custom House—Difficulties and labour connected with compilation of statistics—Number of calculations made 95

CHAPTER VIII.

TRADE AND TRAFFIC.—FIGURES AND CONCLUSIONS.

The trade of the United Kingdom with the Whole World during 1899, 1900, 1901, 1902, and 1903—Fluctuation in value—Fluctuation in quantity—The average trade of the United Kingdom with the Whole World divided into countries—Investigations into the relative value of the trade with various countries—Proportion of trade of the United Kingdom with France, Holland, Belgium, and Germany—The trade of the United Kingdom passing through the ports of Dover, Folkestone, Southampton, and London—Proportion of trade carried through those ports—The port of Dover—Enumeration of principal goods passing through Dover—The port of Folkestone—The port of Southampton—The port of London—Channels through which our trade with France passes—Traffic in gold and silver coin and bullion—The deflection of trade—Division of trade routes between the United Kingdom and France—Opinion of Mr. Samuel Lack Mason 116